

NASA Advanced Information System Technology (AIST) Projections Workshop 9 – 10 January 2002

Sponsored by the Earth Science Technology Office and the NASA HQ Office of Earth Science

The following documents are provided for your information in preparation for the workshop. Hard copies of these documents will be provided at registration:

Workshop results will be posted on the ESTO Web Site http://esto.nasa.gov

AIST Projections Workshop Agenda

Day 1 – Wednesday (9January2002)

Day 2 – Thursday (10January2002)

Workshop Panel Assignments

AIST January 2002 Workshop Steve Smith/ESTO

Glenn Prescott/ESTO

Data Users Panel (34)

Lead: Mike Seablom/GSFC

ESTO: Karen Moe Penny Newsome/GST

NASA HQ: Martha Maiden/YS Tsengdar Lee/YS

NASA Centers: Joe Coughlin/Ames Bruce Barkstrom/LaRC Steve Hipskind/Ames SOPHIA Rob Raskin/JPL

GSFC:

Ken McDonald Gail McConaughy Matt Schwaller Vanessa Griffin Jeanne Behnke Robin Pfister Jerry Miller Julie Breed David Matusow Bob Schweiss LDCM

Community:

Sara Graves/UAH Helen Conover/UAH Ganesh Gopalan/OSU Saxon Holbrook/U Montana Silvia Nittal/U Maine Yonsook Enloe/SGT Janet Rountree/SAIC Tom Milster/U Az Liping Di/GMU Ruixin Yang/GMU Keith Wichmann/GST ECHO David Isaac/BPS Mark Maier/Aerospace Jim Soukup/Aerospace EDC April Gillam/Aerospace

Mission Users Panel (38)

Lead: Loren Lemmerman/JPL

ESTO: Bob Connerton Kai-Dee Chu/GST

NASA HQ: John LeBrecque/YS

NASA Centers: Don Sullivan/Ames SOFIA Phil Paulsen/GRC Richard Reinhart/GRC Kul Bhasin/GRC William Ivancic/GRC Bob Bauer/GRC Carl Mills/LaRC Amy Walton/JPL Hamid Hemmati/JPL Sam Dolinar/JPL Tien-Hsin Chao/JPL Walt Brooks/USRA/Ames

GSFC:

Vicki Oxenham Pen-Shu Yeh Barbie Medina Steve Tompkins Lisa Callahan Mike Rackley Tom Flatley Peter Hughes Phil Luers Parminder Ghuman Dave Everett GPM

Community

Lonnie Welch/Ohio U Randy Davis/U Col Solar Irradiance Seamus Tuohy/Draper Sid Cooke/LM Mike Goldberg/MitreTec LDCM Pat Stakem/QSS Will Rabinovich/NRL Scott Turner/Aerospace Pat Cheatham/Aerospace Keith Scott/MITRE Joe Harsanyi/ASIT

AIST Needs by Category

This package provides a listing of technology approaches by AIST Needs Category (see Table 1) and associated goal (see Table 2).

Guidance

- 1) For each of the existing technology approaches, determine whether that technology approach should be kept as is (keep), deleted (del), or modified (mod). Provide the rationale for those technology approaches for which you recommend deletion or significant modification.
- 2) For each existing goal, identify any additional (new) technology approaches and provide the rationale for their inclusion.
- 3) New goals and their constituent technology approach(es) may be added using the blank rows at the bottom of each table. Provide justification for the addition of these new goals and technology approaches.

7.1 Data Collection

7.2 Transmission

7.3 Data & Information Production

7.4 Analysis, Search & Display

7.4 Analysis, Search & Display (Continued)

7.5 Systems Management

7.6 Infrastructure

7.6 Infrastructure (Continued)

7.6 Infrastructure (Continued)

AIST Technology Approaches by Goal

This package provides the context for each of the 15 current AIST goals by identifying the technology approaches (needs) associated with each of the goals. Many goals encompass needs from several AIST Categories. For example, Goal 1 (Improved usercentric and mission oriented organization and search of scientific data) includes needs in the following categories: Data and Information Production; Analysis, Search and Display; and Infrastructure. The chart below provides an overview of the needs associated with each goal.

Guidance

- 1) For each of the existing goals, determine whether that goal should be kept as is (keep), deleted (del), or modified (mod). Circle the appropriate action in the left-hand column and provide the rationale for those goals for which you recommend deletion or significant modification.
- 2) Space is provided at the end of this package to identify new goals that may not fit well within the existing needs categories. Document any new goals and constituent technology approaches NOT PREVIOUSLY DOCUMENTED in the "AIST by Needs Category" worksheet here. Provide the rationale for the addition of any new goals and associated technology approaches.

Goal 1: Improved user-centric and mission oriented organization and search of scientific data

Goal 2: Improved user-centric and mission oriented extraction and fusion of scientific data

Goal 3: Improved user-centric and mission oriented visualization of scientific data

Goal 4: Improved data access through metadata interoperability

Goal 5: Improved performance, flexibility and adaptability of data processing

Goal 6: Collection, storage, retrieval and management of large data volumes

Goal 7: Transmission of large data volumes

Goal 8: Efficiency of data transmission

Goal 9: Ubiquitous communications networks

Goal 10: Enable sensor web communications and control needs (constellation and formation flying)

Goal 11: Increased autonomous operations and data management for ground and space based systems

Goal 12: Improved systems operation and management

Goal 13: Improved system interoperability and use of standards

Goal 14: Improved reliability of hardware/software

Goal 15: Reduced life cycle cost of ground and space based processing

Goal:

Goal:

AIST Key Investments Worksheet

AIST Key "Ground" Investment Themes

AIST Key "Ground" Investment Themes

Key Space Investment Themes

Onboard Storage Architecture Solid **State** Magnetic/Optical Hybrid Planar 0.002 bits/ μ m³ 300 Mbits/sec Volumetric/Optical 1st Generation 0.05 bits/ μ m³ 500 Mbits/sec Volumetric/Optical 2nd Generation 0.1 bits/ μ m³ 1 Gbits/sec Volumetric/Optical 3rd Generation 0.2 bits/ μ m³ 2 Gbits/sec *AIST Key "Space" Investment Themes* **Lossless & Lossy Data Compression** 80 Msps 2:1 Comp Ratio ROI Lossy Comp 20 Msps \sim 2:1 Comp Ratio 80 Msps 3:1 Comp Ratio 220 Msps 5:1 Comp Ratio JPEG Lossy Comp High Performance Feature Identification for Preferential Compression **2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012**

January 9, 2002

AIST Key Investment Descriptions

The following pages describe the AIST key investment technologies that are provided in the projections worksheets.

AIST Ground Investment Themes

Earth Science Data & Services Representation

The Internet has revolutionized the distribution and representation of information. The researchers are usually overwhelmed by the available data and services. Proper representation of the Earth science data and services can save researchers and scientists valuable time and resources.

- **Research Code for Limited Semantic Extraction** Extract semantic information from dataset to describe the contents of a file in machine-readable terms such that an application can interpret the data in an intelligent manner.
- **OGC Participation** The Open GIS Consortium, Inc. (OGC) is a not-for-profit membership organization founded in 1994 to address the lack of interoperability among systems that process georeferenced data, and between these systems and mainstream computing systems. NASA is one of OGC's principal members. OGC's mission is to give the world's information systems a new connection to physical reality by making georeferenced data behave like just another standard data type in systems of all kinds.
- **Basic Earth Science Information Representation** Methods of preservation of knowledge representation results; Participation in research of semantic mapping representations of data
- **"Living" Data Lineage Technique** A living, automated record of the data, processing environments, and algorithms used to create an information product out of a data source. The data product history contains all the information necessary to recreate a product from the raw data.
- **Effective Knowledge Representation** The association of valuable content descriptions and knowledge representation with sets of data to facilitate finding data that was previously unknown to the user of the system. Includes data exploitation tools for search fusion and mining

Earth Science Interoperable Data & Services Framework

For scientists working on multidisciplinary Earth System Science research, more than 50% of their time is spent on locating and acquiring data and information, and then preprocessing and assembling them into analysis-ready form. These time and efforts can be saved by incorporating an interoperable data and services framework.

- **Independent Tool Sets** Independent tools for case-based reasoning, data visualization, fuzzy query and analysis, discovery of relationships between data, neutral networks exist in industry but not specific to Earth science data; each tool operates under specific environment and on specific data sets.
- **Component-based Framework with Pilot Tool Sets** Pilot tools set to demonstrate data and services interoperability through definition, adoptions, and use of common software interfaces by communities of Earth science data researchers and application developers allowing their data products, algorithms and service code to interoperate in common frameworks
- **Component-based Framework with Comprehensive Open Tool Sets** Open tool sets that can plug-and-play in the component-based framework to render science data services.

Knowledge Extraction

With limited time and resources available to researchers and scientists, an automated knowledge extraction scheme can be deployed to obtain valuable information that was not instinctively identifiable by manual analysis.

• **Research Code for Limited Knowledge Extraction / Change Detection** - Enable transformation

of data from one or more sources into knowledge

- Data Visualization
- Human interactive computer "learning"
- Feature extraction
- Data Mining/Adaptive mining
- Pattern recognition and statistical observation
- Data Fusion
- Semantic Mapping
- Knowledge Customization/User Profiling
- **Pilot Extraction Tool Set** Test environment and prototypes to evaluate and populate data exploitation framework
	- Pilot for specific Earth science problem(s)
	- Adapt relevant subset or tools
	- Extension into data and tool repository for scientists
- **Automatic Change Detection & Task Initiation for Sensor Webs** Use of knowledge features, regions, models, objects, and semantics to detect changes in environment; then automatically task sensor webs to monitor regions of interest.

Information Access and Delivery

The massive and improved remote sensing data acquired by recently deployed satellites could be rendered useless if the users don't have easy access to them. Various channels will be attempted to widen the accessibility and quicker delivery of these data.

- **Independent Subscriptions/OGC-WMT participation** Users can independently subscribe to data products; Limited user-profiling available; OGC Web Mapping Testbed being constructed and tested.
- **Partner on URN Technology** To define both a Uniform Resource Name (URN) framework and an initial set of components that fit this framework
- **Real-time Event Recognition & Products on Demand** Push/Pull data and knowledge delivery when an event is recognized. A service framework that contains high-speed network development, data search exploitation tools and object identification and retrieval, thus enables products on demand
- **Adaptive/Customized Subscription/Advertising Services** User profiles/ subscription for data/knowledge push; Invest in Earth Science data exploitation infrastructure (flexible, reusable)
	- Combine data products and services
	- Tools for finding and obtaining data
	- Deal with multiple formats
	- Suite of APIs
	- Application (server) and data clearinghouse
	- Pilot set of reusable components
	- Provide web sites with mining systems, tools and services for science community

High-Performance Evolvable Archives

With the multi- and hyper-spectral data streaming in daily, the storage and archive of Earth science data have become a new challenge. Tera- and Peta-byte storage media have become a necessity not only in the Earth science regime, but the entire digital world.

- **Proprietary FSMS** Current File Storage Management Systems are mostly company proprietary products such as UniTree, AMASS, and FileServ.
- **ANSI MS66 Metadata Interoperability Standard** There have been some efforts to adapt a standard tape format to include File Level Metadata in a tape format. This has evolved into the work of File-Level Metadata for Portability of Sequential Storage (FMP) Study Group, which has culminated in the Association for Information and Image Management International ANSI/AIIM MS66 Proposed standard, Metadata for Interchange of Files on Sequential Storage Media Between File Storage Management Systems (FSMS)
- **Swath DBMS** Develop a DBMS that accommodates Swath geolocation data
- **Advanced Media Benchmarks** Media/Drive Technologies (e.g. holographic, drive interface for processing (chip)) – rely on commercialization
- **High-Performance Evolvable FSMS** Evolvable storage media and formats including storage, archival, and retrieval standards

Data Product Planning & Scheduling

As the computers getting more powerful, the high performance data processing is also becoming a necessity. Proper management of the available computing power is essential to the success of the Earth Science Enterprise.

- **Stand-Alone Tools** There is no unified scheduling architecture for current status of data product planning & scheduling; There are mixture of custom-coded and COTS solutions available
- **Dynamic Representation of Resource Capabilities** (archives, processors, networks) Dynamically updates all resource capabilities so that advanced and optimized planning/scheduling can be achieved.
- **Unified Scheduling Architecture** Performance metrics include: number of data sets and dependencies, number of ESIP members required for processing, data volume to schedule, time to accomplish task and accuracy in planning estimate, dynamic resources and replanning during task
- **Resource Manager** Learns and Improves on Performance Estimates (predict & manage) Intelligence added to the system: learns and improves on estimates (empirical and modeled)

AIST Space Investment Themes

High Data Rate Communications

High Data Rate Communications is essential for transferring large quantities of scientific data from satellite to ground. Regardless of how the data is pre-processed or the level of on-board processing used, there will always be an increasing demand for larger communications capacities.

- **X-Band Technologies** Current EOS systems employ X-band radio frequency technology, operating in the $7.25 - 8.4$ GHz frequency band. X-band systems have been the mainstay of NASA high data rate communications, but has limited available bandwidth to serve the increasing demand for throughput over the next decade.
- **Ka-Band Technologies** Future systems will need the wider available bandwidths provided in the 17.3 – 31 GHz frequency band. Because of the much smaller size constraint of Ka-band hardware, this technology may also be suitable for use in satellite to satellite communication applications, which could provide support for networked satellite constellations.
- **Optical Technologies** Optical communications represents a breakthrough in terms of available bandwidth. Increases in data rate could be several orders of magnitude over RF technology. Satellite to satellite communications will almost certainly require this technology. Satellite to ground systems that use optical communications will not suffer the spectrum conflict problems associated with radio frequency transmission.

Satellite IP Network

Satellite Internet Protocol Networks will be an essential part of the sensor web concept. Sensor webs, or groups for formation flying spacecraft will need to have intra-constellation connectivity in order to hand off processing tasks, route data and enable navigation.

- **TCP over Satellite** The Transmission Control Protocol can be demonstrated with simple end-toend connectivity to demonstrate that the satellite platform can successfully be integrated into a computer network.
- **Onboard Routers & Switches** By placing network routers and switches on board satellites, common data communication hardware can used and data can be routed to multiple destinations, both on and off the satellite.
- **IP over Satellite with Larger Networks** Integration of multiple satellites and multiple ground stations into a comprehensive functional network using the Internet Protocol.
- **IP Networks on Satellites** On-board implementation of the Internet Protocol in order to route data within the satellite and to other satellites and ground stations.
- **TDRSS Supports Routable Nets** Integration of TDRSS into a comprehensive network and demonstration of end to end connectivity on demand.
- **Flight Qualified End-to-End IP –** Demonstration of the Internet Protocol to implement a complete functional network for collecting, transferring and analyzing science data.
- **IP to the Instrument –** Required in order to fully realize the sensor web concept. Places instrument control and use directly in the hands of the scientist.

Microprocessor, Board and Bus Technology

These component technologies are critical elements in implementing an effective on-board processing capability. They are all related to speed, flexibility and adaptability of the data collection, processing and transmission capability of the sensor system.

- **FPGA Tool Sets** FPGAs require complex software design tools in order to make most efficient use of these devices. FPGA tools will significantly reduce the design cycle and make system testing much more effective.
- **Rad Hardened Processors** Radiation tolerant and hardened processing devices will improve central processor reliability in the presence of radiation-induced errors. Reduction or elimination of radiation-induced errors greatly speeds data processing functions and facilitates high speed data transfer.
- **High Performance Boards (100s MIPS)** Board architectures that can support high speed transfer of data while enduring extremes of temperature and mechanical stress are needed to host electronic processing devices with long term reliability.
- **High Bandwidth Optical Bus (Gbps)** Bus speeds are one of the notable bottlenecks in processing. Optical bus technology will provide a revolutionary increase in the rate at which data can be moved between processors, memory and peripherals.
- **3-4 GIPS Processor** Faster processors are essential to future Earth observing satellites. Processors that can execute 1 billion instructions per second will allow essential on-board processing functions needed in order to support data manipulation requirements of the sensor-web.

Lossless & Lossy Data Compression

Data compression allows more efficient use of available transmission bandwidth. When combined with feature identification, can significantly increase the quantity of useful scientific data collected in a time interval. The primary issues are lossless data compression and lossy data compression. Lossless data compression has mathematical boundaries on compression ratio. Lossy data compression is highly dependent upon the source and upon perception of the user.

- **20 MSPS ~2:1 Comp Ratio** Primary issue is the capability to sample and process data at a 20 MSPS rate using current lossless compression algorithms. Primary a hardware issue coupled to on-board processing technology.
- **JPEG Lossy Compression** JPEG standard for lossy compression will yield improved compression rates as new mathematical techniques and innovations are discovered.
- **80 MSPS/2:1 Comp Ratio** Improved sampling and processing rate using faster hardware.
- **ROI Lossy Compression** Region of Interest compression algorithms allow the user to select specific compression ratios on specific features or geophysical regions of a measurement or image.
- **80 MSPS/3:1 Comp Ratio** Improved lossless compression rates due to new mathematical algorithms and techniques.
- **High Performance Feature ID for Preferential Compression** Automated importance identification of significant features for high quality data transmission.
- **220 MSPS/5:1 Comp Ratio** Sampling rates in the millions of samples per second require gigaops per second processors. Lossless compression rates nearing the mathematical limits of performance capability.

Onboard Storage Architecture

Instruments having greater precision and resolution will require larger amounts of on-board storage. Data storage requirements track with data transmission rate requirements. Invariably, larger memory capacity accompanies higher data transmission capacity. New storage technologies will be essential in the implementation of the sensor web.

- **Solid State** Current solid-state memory is low capacity and subject to radiation induced errors. However this memory is relatively inexpensive and durable over a wide range of temperature and vibration.
- **Magnetic/Optical Hybrid Planar** Provides a memory density of 0.002 bits/mm³ with an access rate of 300 Mbits/sec. Represents a transition technology from conventional magnetic media to fully optical.
- **Volumetric/Optical 1st Generation** Holographic techniques will be applied to high density memory storage. First generation optical memory devices will have a memory density of 0.05 bits/mm³ with an access rate of 500 Mbits/sec.
- **Volumetric/Optical 2nd Generation** Second generation optical memory devices are projected to have a memory density of 0.1 bits/mm³ with an access rate of 1 Gbits/secs.
- **Volumetric/Optical 3rd Generation** Third generation optical memory devices are projected to have a memory density of 0.2 bits/mm³ with an access rate of 2 Gbits/sec.

Intelligent Platform & Sensor Control

Autonomy will be an essential part of platform control. Intelligent systems will control the sensor web and integrate the platform with sensor measurement requirements. The projected intelligent platform technologies described here allow a greater number of satellites to be controlled within the sensor web.

- **Remote Agent Architecture for Single Autonomous Spacecraft** Development and proof of capability for remote agents to assist in the control of a single satellite.
- **Experimental Architecture for Multiple Autonomous Spacecraft (~3)** First generation capability for autonomous control of a limited number of satellites. Allows formation flying within a limited constellation. Develop concepts to extend to larger sized sensor webs.
- **Experimental Reference Architecture for Multiple Autonomous Spacecraft (~5)** Second generation of autonomous control architectures. Incorporates new software paradigms and algorithms in order to control a greater number of satellites.
- **Agent-based Reference Architecture for Multiple Autonomous Spacecraft (~50)** An essential capability for autonomous control of large numbers of formation flying satellites will be needed in order to fully realize the potential of the Earth Science Vision of the next 20 years.

Navigation Technologies

Precise navigation is essential for formation flying and a variety of precise geospatial measurements. New instruments will be needed that will use GPS technology in innovative ways to direct and control multiple satellite measurement systems. These instruments will help improve the accuracy and veracity of Earth science measurements.

- **On-board GPS Tracking** Capability on-board a satellite to use fundamental GPS capability to determine and track the on-orbit location of Earth observing satellites.
- **Differential GPS and Lidar Systems** Greatly improved accuracy is offered by differential GPS techniques. Improved geolocation accuracy will enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of Lidar systems which make measurements that require extremely precise location and pointing information.
- **Self-Calibrating Navigation Systems** Navigation systems which are capable of making selfdetermined on-board calibration adjustments, and incorporating this information into the instruments performing the measurements.
- **High Precision Control of Cluster Satellites** Highly accurate control of clusters of satellites consisting of many sensors will require the integration of precise GPS geolocation information into autonomous control systems.